No 68.

No 69.
A compriser

preferred to the mails and

duties of the

withstanding of a tack let

by the com-

mon debtor, after the

another creditor, for his

security and payment.

comprising, to

lands comprised, not-

comprised after his contract be registrate, yet he taking sasine after the denunciation, and before the apprising, his sasine will be sustained for pointing the ground of the lands, notwithstanding the subsequent comprising, and infeftment following thereupon.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 558. Haddington, MS. No 2458.

\*\*\* Kerse's report of this case is No 59. p. 2818. voce Competition.

1627. February 20.

GARDIN against ——.

In an action of Adam Gardin, litster, against —, for the mails and duties of a tenement, whereto he had right, by virtue of a comprising and infeftment following thereupon, the defender clothing himself with a tack of the said land, set to him by that person from whom the lands were comprised, the LORDS preferred the compriser, infeft as said is, to the tacksman, because the tack was set after the comprising deduced, which could not be done in prejudice of him who had comprised the land from the setter of the tack before the setting thereof; neither was this duply respected, that the comprising was deduced for a small sum, far within the worth of the lands; and so that the defender alleged, that the tack and his comprising might both subsist, his tack being set to him until the time the sum addebted to him by the setter was paid, for payment of the duty therein contained; and the land comprised being far more in value and worth than both the parties' sums; so that it were against equity to prejudge the excipient of his sum, which he could never have, if his tack should be made unprofitable to him; which was repelled, seeing if the comprising was for a little sum, another creditor might easily redeem the land.

Act. Kay. Alt. —— Clerk, Gibson. Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 557. Durie, p. 278.

1629. February 7.

A. against B.

AFTER the denunciation of the lands, to be comprised at the instance of a creditor, he who is debtor may do no voluntary deed, by disposition or setting of the lands to any other person, although he be creditor, in prejudice of him who used the first diligence, by denunciation, whereupon apprising followed.

This decision was thought very hard, except it had been alleged that the debtor was bankrupt.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 557. Auchinleck, MS. p. 36.

No 70.