

G L E B E.

SECT. I.

What Ministers entitled to a Glebe.

1664. December 17. ANDERSON against His PARISHIONERS.

No 1.

MR JOHN ANDERSON, parson of Dysart, pursues removing from four acres of land designed to him for his glebe, against John Watson the heritor. The LORDS sustained process and decerned, notwithstanding it was alleged for the defender, that only ministers of landward kirks, and not ministers of burghs-royal, could have glebes conform to the act of Parliament; which the LORDS repelled, in respect the act of Parliament is only meant of such burghs as had no landward at all in the parish.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 350. Newbyth, MS. p. 13.

1685. March 26. WILLIAMSON against RAMSAY, &c.

No 2.

MR JAMES WILLIAMSON minister at Kirkaldy against Sir Andrew Ramsay of Abbotshall, and his parishioners, reported by Castlehill; the LORDS find the Town of Kirkaldy and Balsusney heritors liable for reparation of the manse, though he be minister in a burgh-royal; because it has a manse and glebe, and a landward parish, and so falls not under the 21st act of Parl. 1663; and find the teinds of the landward parish are liable for repairing the quire of the kirk; and find the heritors of the acres and landward parish are liable proportionally for reparation of the body of the kirk, unless they will quit their seats; and find the minister has right to crave grass to be designed to him out of the landward parish, in manner prescribed by the act of Parl. or the sum of L. 20 Scots yearly for foggage; reserving to those out of whose lands the said designation shall be made, their relief against the rest of the heritors of kirk-lands within the parish, conform to the acts of Parliament.

The minister of a burgh-royal has right to crave grass to be designed to him out of the landward parish, reserving to those out of whose lands the designation is made, relief against the heritors of kirk-lands within the parish.