much; for this would liberate all cautioners, and annul hundreds of deeds given for love and favour; besides her negligence in letting it be lost in her house, on the edict nautae caupones. Neither is it of any weight, that it is only a verbal legacy; for that restriction only holds where it is left payable after their death; but here the bank-note was called for to have been instantly delivered in her lifetime; and her promise needed no present acceptance; for they may be made to infants, idiots or absent, and yet bind; and it is a mere quibble to say he did not declare his acceptance; for who in his right wits would reject and repudiate such an express offer? The Lords found the promise obligatory, and sufficiently proved by her oath; but allowed her yet to instruct he was aliunde paid, if she would burden herself therewith.

Fountainball, v. 2. p. 697.

1717. July 10.

Paterson against Inglis.

No 23.

No 22.

A DEBTOR'S relict having written in the postscript of a letter, not to the creditor, but to a third party, these words: Shew such a person that if I were come, &c. she shall be paid, &c. if it be His holy will to spare me; the LORDS found that these words not only imported a resolution, but an obligation. See Appendix.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 16.

1723. January 2.

KENNEDY against KENNEDY.

No 24.

HUGH KENNEDY disponed his estate upon death-bed in favour of his son, and failing him, to Sir John Kennedy. After the son's death, this deed being called in question by Hugh Kennedy of London, a remote heir, Sir John Kennedy alleged, That the son, apparent heir at the time, had homologated the deed, which made it unquarrellable by any remoter heir; and he produced a missive letter in these words: 'Depend on it, I shall adhere to that right my father made failing me in your favour; and that you may give the more credit to what I here aver, I have made no other title to my estate, but have used the same as my evident.' It was pleaded, That this did only import a resolution, but no direct ratification or homologation; which accordingly the LORDS found. See APPENDIX.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 16.

1737. January 28. PATRICK ROBERTSON against MACKENZIE of Fraserdale.

THE deceased Lord Prestonhall, anno 1710, granted a bond to Agnes Cockburn, his servant, bearing, That he was justly resting and owing her the sum of Vol. XXIII.

No 25.
Found that a bond for an onerous cause, bearing, that, in case it was