INHIBITION.

1734. January 10. HAY of Strowie against CREDITORS of SIMPSON.

No. 1.

INHIBITION executed at the market cross of Cupar against an inhabitant of Kirkaldy (part of the regality of Dunfermline) found null, notwithstanding Kirkaldy has purchased the heritable Baillary of their own town, and that many hornings and inhibitions were so executed; seeing it was not universal, and the town were still called at the head Courts of Dunfermline. Vide inter eosdem voce Jurisdiction.

1737. June 29. CREDITORS of ROSEBERRY against GEDDES.

No. 2.

Inhibition relevant to reduce a subsequent disposition, though no adjudication has yet followed.

1737. November 16.

LADY MARGARET and DOROTHEA PRIMROSE against COMMISSARY
CLERKS.

No. 3.

INHIBITION ought not to go (at least not but causa cognita) against any member of a Court, officer, or trustee, for what he does in execution of his office; and therefore an inhibition against the Commissary clerks at Lady Margaret and Dorothea Primrose's instance, upon the process of damages for receiving an insufficient cautioner in a confirmation (de quo vide Public Officer) was recalled.