1736. January 13. PATRICK CRAWFURD, Supplicant.

No. 10.

BILL not accepted indorsed through several hands, and at last indorsed blank, and protested by the possessor, and thereupon paid by one *supra* protest for honour of the second indorser by a receipt subjoined to the protest, without making over the bill and protest; yea, though the blank indorsation, which was the possessor's right to protest it, was cancelled; yet the Lords ordered the bill to be registered at the second indorser's instance.

1736. January 15. GILLESPIE against BARR.

No. 11.

BILL drawn upon and addressed to a father and son, the father as principal, and the son as cautioner, but accepted by both simply, was found null *quoad* the cautioner. See No. 26.

1736. January 17.

A. against B.

No. 12.

BILL drawn by the husband upon and accepted by his wife, being protested for not payment, can only be pursued *via actionis*; for as to the wife it is null, and there can be no summary horning against the husband, because it is accepted. Lord Dun, Reporter.

1737. January 7.

WEIR against PARKHILL.

A DONATION cannot be habily constituted by a writing in the form of a bill. Found that this bill was without an onerous cause; and therefore sustained the defence, and assoilzied. (See Dict. No. 17. p. 1413.)

No. 13.

1737. February 22. Mark Kerr against Chalmers.

No. 14.

THE last draught on the drawer's debtor, if first protested, is preferable to the first draught, but last presented and protested.