

S E C T. II.

Claim to be enrolled.

1754. *January 16.* SIR ARCHIBALD GRANT *against* JOHN LEITH of Leith-hall.

No 205.

JOHN LEITH was enrolled by the freeholders of Aberdeenshire at their Michaelmas meeting 1753. Sir Archibald Grant complained of the enrolment, and *objected*, That the claim lodged by Mr Leith did not set forth the precise sum at which his lands stood valued; but only that their valuation exceeded L. 400 Scots.

THE LORDS were of opinion, that it was not necessary to mention the precise sum in the claim, and therefore

“ They dismissed the complaint.”

Act. *A. Lockhart.*

Alt. *Ch. Hamilton Gordon.*

Clerk, *Kirkpatrick.*

B.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 429. Fac. Col. No 96. p. 146.

No 206.

1762. *January 5.* GOLDIE *against* ———

WHERE a claim bore, that the claimant's sasine was recorded in one register, when, in fact, it was recorded in another, an objection pleaded on that ground was sustained. *See APPENDIX.*

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 429.

1762. *January 15.* ELLIOT *against* FERGUSON.

No 207.

OBJECTED, That an enrolment could not proceed in respect the claim was not lodged till four o'clock P. M. of 6th August, and the freeholders met before two o'clock of 6th October, so that the two kalendar months had not run. *Answered*, It is enough that either the day on which the notice is given be free or the day to which it is given, and if either the 6th of August or the 6th of October be computed, the two months will be complete. THE LORDS repelled the objection.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 429. Fac. Col.

* * * This case is No 6. p. 3469, *voce* DIES INCEPTUS.