1777. August 9. Mathieson against Duff of Muirton.

A TACK may be executed by missives. In this case it was so, but the missives were not holograph; so the writer was designed, and they were signed before witnesses. Possession followed for two years; but then the tenant resiled: he objected the nullities of the Act 1696, that every page was not signed,—that the pages were not numbered,—nor the number mentioned in the testing clause. He objected also, that, if the letter was truly a tack, it ought to have been upon stamped paper. The Lord Justice-Clerk, Ordinary, 24th July 1776, repelled the objections, and the Lords adhered.

WRIT of Extent, see EXTENT.